1 2 3 4 5 6 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON 7 AT SEATTLE 8 GEORGE DANIEL RING, JR., a former 9 Detective and King County Sheriff's Deputy; and REBECCA ROSE. 10 NO. C07-693RSL Plaintiffs, 11 ORDER GRANTING v. 12 **DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR** DAVE REICHERT, et al., RECONSIDERATION 13 Defendants. 14 This matter comes before the Court on "Defendants' Motion for Reconsideration," Dkt. 15 #56. Defendants ask the Court to reconsider its order granting plaintiffs' motion to modify the 16 case schedule, Dkt. #55, noting that they did not file an opposition to plaintiffs' motion because 17 the case had been stayed. The Court stayed the case on February 24, 2009 in anticipation of the 18 March 2, 2009 trial date. Dkt. #54. At that point, defendants had been unable to take plaintiffs' 19 depositions, plaintiffs' counsel had indicated that his medical condition precluded him from 20 representing plaintiffs, and plaintiffs were out of the country. The Court could have held the 21 case in abeyance or stricken the trial date to address the problem, but because the Court stayed 22 the case, defendants reasonably believed they could not respond to plaintiffs' motion to modify 23 the case schedule. 24 The Court hereby GRANTS defendants' motion for reconsideration of its order 25 26 27 ORDER GRANTING DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR 28 **RECONSIDERATION - 1**

modifying the case schedule (Dkt. #56).1 The case is re-opened, and plaintiffs' motion to modify the case schedule (Dkt. #51) is re-noted for March 20, 2009. DATED this 10th day of March, 2009. MMS (asnik Robert S. Lasnik United States District Judge ¹ The portion of the Court's order (Dkt. #55) granting plaintiffs' counsel's motion to withdraw is not subject to reconsideration. ORDER GRANTING DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR **RECONSIDERATION - 2**